- 6. (3 pts) What three things form the "deadly triad" the three things that cannot be combined in the same learning situation without risking divergence? (circle three)
 - (a) eligibility traces
 - (b) bootstrapping
 - (c) sample backups
 - (d) ε -greedy action selection
 - (e) linear function approximation
 - (f) off-line updating
 - (g) off-policy learning
 - (h) exploration bonuses

The Deadly Triad

the three things that together result in instability

- 1. Function approximation
- 2. Bootstrapping
- 3. Off-policy training data (e.g., Q-learning, DP)

even if:

- prediction (fixed given policies)
- linear with binary features
- expected updates (as in asynchronous DP, iid)

- 7. True or False: For any stationary MDP, assuming a step-size (α) sequence satisfying the standard stochastic approximation criteria, and a fixed policy, convergence in the prediction problem is guaranteed for
 - TF (2 pts) online, off-policy TD(1) with linear function approximation
 TF (2 pts) online, on-policy TD(0) with linear function approximation
 TF (2 pts) offline, off-policy TD(0) with linear function approximation
 TF (2 pts) dynamic programming with linear function approximation
 TF (2 pts) dynamic programming with nonlinear function approximation
 TF (2 pts) gradient-descent Monte Carlo with linear function approximation
 TF (2 pts) gradient-descent Monte Carlo with nonlinear function approximation

8. **True of False:** (3 pts) TD(0) with linear function approximation converges to a local minimum in the MSE between the approximate value function and the true value function V^{π} .

The Deadly Triad

the three things that together result in instability

- 1. Function approximation
 - linear or more with proportional complexity
 - state aggregation ok; ok if "nearly Markov"
- 2. Bootstrapping
 - $\lambda = 1$ ok, ok if λ big enough (problem dependent)
- 3. Off-policy training
 - may be ok if "nearly on-policy"
 - if policies very different, variance may be too high anyway

Off-policy learning

- Learning about a policy different than the policy being used to generate actions
 - Most often used to learn optimal behaviour from a given data set, or from more exploratory behaviour
 - Key to ambitious theories of knowledge and perception as continual prediction about the outcomes of many options

Baird's counter-example

- *P* and *d* are not linked
 - d is all states with equal probability
 - *P* is according to this Markov chain:



TD can diverge: Baird's counter-example



 $\alpha = 0.01$ $\gamma = 0.99$ $\theta_0 = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)^\top$ deterministic updates

TD(0) can diverge: A simple example



$$\delta = r + \gamma \theta^{\top} \phi' - \theta^{\top} \phi$$
$$= 0 + 2\theta - \theta$$
$$= \theta$$

TD update: $\Delta \theta = \alpha \delta \phi$ = $\alpha \theta$ Diverges! TD fixpoint: $\theta^* = 0$ Previous attempts to solve the off-policy problem

- Importance sampling
 - With recognizers
- Least-squares methods, LSTD, LSPI, iLSTD
- Averagers
- Residual gradient methods

Desiderata: We want a TD algorithm that

- Bootstraps (genuine TD)
- Works with linear function approximation (stable, reliably convergent)
- Is simple, like linear TD O(n)
- Learns fast, like linear TD
- Can learn off-policy (arbitrary P and d)
- Learns from online causal trajectories (no repeat sampling from the same state)

A little more theory

therefore, at $A\theta^* = b$ the TD fixpoint: $\theta^* = A^{-1}b$

LSTD computes this directly

$$-\frac{1}{2}\nabla_{\theta} \text{MSPBE} = -A^{\top}C^{-1}(A\theta - b) \qquad \begin{array}{c} C = \mathbb{E}\left[\phi\phi\right] \\ \text{covariance} \\ \text{matrix} \end{array}$$

TD(0) Solution and Stability

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{t+1} &= \boldsymbol{\theta}_t + \alpha \left(\underbrace{R_{t+1} \boldsymbol{\phi}(S_t)}_{\mathbf{b}_t \in \mathbb{R}^n} - \underbrace{\boldsymbol{\phi}(S_t) \left(\boldsymbol{\phi}(S_t) - \gamma \boldsymbol{\phi}(S_{t+1}) \right)^\top}_{\mathbf{A}_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}} \boldsymbol{\theta}_t \right) \\ &= \boldsymbol{\theta}_t + \alpha (\mathbf{b}_t - \mathbf{A}_t \boldsymbol{\theta}_t) \\ &= (\mathbf{I} - \alpha \mathbf{A}_t) \boldsymbol{\theta}_t + \alpha \mathbf{b}_t. \end{aligned}$$

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{t+1} \doteq \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_t + \alpha (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_t)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_* = \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{b}$$

LSTD(0)

Ideal:

$$\mathbf{A} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\pi} \big[\boldsymbol{\phi}_t (\boldsymbol{\phi}_t - \gamma \boldsymbol{\phi}_{t+1})^\top \big] \qquad \qquad \mathbf{b} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\pi} [R_{t+1} \boldsymbol{\phi}_t]$$

 $\boldsymbol{\theta}_* = \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{b}$

Algorithm:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{A}_t &= \sum_k \rho_k \boldsymbol{\phi}_k \left(\boldsymbol{\phi}_k - \gamma \boldsymbol{\phi}_{k+1} \right)^\top \\ \lim_{t \to \infty} \mathbf{A}_t &= \mathbf{A} \\ \boldsymbol{\theta}_t &= \mathbf{A}_t^{-1} \mathbf{b}_t \\ \lim_{t \to \infty} \boldsymbol{\theta}_t &= \boldsymbol{\theta}_* \end{split}$$

$$\mathbf{b}_t = \sum_k \rho_k R_k \boldsymbol{\phi}_k$$

 $\lim_{t\to\infty}\mathbf{b}_t=\mathbf{b}$

$LSTD(\lambda)$

Ideal:

$$\mathbf{A} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\pi} \big[\mathbf{e}_t (\boldsymbol{\phi}_t - \gamma \boldsymbol{\phi}_{t+1})^\top \big]$$

$$\mathbf{b} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[R_{t+1}\mathbf{e}_t]$$

 $\boldsymbol{\theta}_* = \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{b}$

Algorithm:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{A}_t &= \sum_k \rho_k \mathbf{e}_k \left(\boldsymbol{\phi}_k - \gamma \boldsymbol{\phi}_{k+1} \right)^\top \\ \lim_{t \to \infty} \mathbf{A}_t &= \mathbf{A} \\ \boldsymbol{\theta}_t &= \mathbf{A}_t^{-1} \mathbf{b}_t \\ \lim_{t \to \infty} \boldsymbol{\theta}_t &= \boldsymbol{\theta}_* \end{split}$$

 $\mathbf{b}_t = \sum_k \rho_k R_k \mathbf{e}_k$

 $\lim_{t\to\infty}\mathbf{b}_t=\mathbf{b}$